


OLED Efficacy





Introduction

Common statement from lighting customers: „OLEDs are only interesting for us if they reach an efficacy of 100 lm/W or more.“

What is an OLED and how does it generate light?


Terminology: Efficacy and efficiency?

Efficacy and efficiency – technological overview on current OLED technology.

Comparison to LED technology: are current OLEDs fit for the market?




What is an OLED and how does it generate light?





What is an OLED and how does it generate light?




Example: bottom-emitting OLED → most commonly used design today




What is an OLED and how does it generate light?

HOMO = highest occupied molecular orbit


LUMO = lowest unoccupied molecular orbit




Energy difference between LUMO and HOMO determines color of the emitted light.



Prof Monkman, OEM Research Group Department of Physics, Durham University




Generating white light: LED vs. OLED



LED: blue chip with yellow phosphor filter

OLED: RGB layer structure





Efficacy and efficiency - terminology

Efficacy of a light source (E): $E = \frac{L}{U * I}$


L: luminous output of the device
U: operating voltage
I: operating current

Internal Quantum Efficiency (IQE): % of electrons the generate photons

External Quantum Efficiency (EQE): % of generated photons that exit the light source




Light emission process and main loss factors






Light emission process and main loss factors

$\eta_s + \eta_r = \% \text{ of radiative (light emitting) electron/hole combinations} \rightarrow \text{IQE}$



$\eta_{\text{extr}} = \% \text{ of photons that leaves the device} \rightarrow \text{EQE}$



light



Internal Quantum Efficiency (IQE)

Fluorescent materials:


- Very **stable, long lifetime** achievable but very **low efficiency**
- Traditional view: $\eta_{\text{smax}} < 25\%$
- **Latest research: $\eta_s = 40\text{-}60\%$ achieved** in the lab

Phosphorescent materials:

- Much **higher efficiencies**
- $\eta_{\text{smax}} \approx 100\%$
- Currently **no phosphorescent blue emitter** with acceptable **lifetime/ stability**

Tandem structure common:

Fluorescent blue emitter +
phosphorescent red/ green emitter





Internal Quantum Efficiency (IQE)

	% Photon		
CCT	Blue	Green	Red
2700	10.9	21.1	68
3000	14.2	22.4	63.4
3500	18.5	23.1	58.4
4000	23.1	23.5	53.4
4500	27.5	22.7	49.7
5000	31.2	22.2	46.6
5700	35.4	20.8	43.8
6500	39.8	19.4	40.8

In the absence of stable/ long-life phosphorescent blue emitters, **most manufacturers** use **tandem structures**:

Fluorescent blue emitter + **phosphorescent red/ green emitter**

Consequence:

- Red/ green emitters have a much higher efficiency than blue emitter
- The higher the blue content, the smaller the overall device efficiency


Source: Design Considerations for OLED Lighting, Tyan et al., 2015

Does OLED luminance decrease with increasing colour temperature?

→ It depends on the design focus of the manufacturer.



Consequences for OLED panel design



Additional considerations:

1. Emitters can be stacked
2. Voltage and luminance in a stack are the sum of the individual emitters
3. Panel lifetime decreases superlinearly with increasing current (and vice versa)
4. Panel costs increase with number of stacks

Example LG Chem panel range:


3000K panels use 3 stack layer structure, 4000K use 2 stacks.

- **3000K** are driven with **higher voltage** at **lower current**
- **Panel life** significantly **higher** for **3000K** compared to 4000K
- **Same rated efficacy** and **luminous flux** for both types



Extraction efficiency

η_{extr} = % of photons that leaves
the device → EQE



Only $\frac{1}{n^2}$ of the generated light gets out of the device



→ N = refractive index of layer materials the light has to pass through

→ $N > 1$

→ **< 20% of the generated light actually leaves the OLED**



Extraction efficiency



OLED – out coupling efficiency

influenced by several factors:

- Number of layers → reflection/refraction at the barrier between two materials
- TIR – total internal reflection → light “trapped” inside the panel
- Light emitted at an disadvantageous viewing angle due to refraction
- Light emitted through the side of the panel due to refraction



Extraction efficiency

Some strategies to increase extraction efficiency




Figure 3 Use of micro-lenses for extracting substrate wave-guided modes.

Mehta et al: Light out-coupling strategies in organic light emitting devices, New Dehli, 2013)




Figure 5. Use of two-dimensional photonic-Crystal structure for extracting the ITO/Organic wave-guided modes

External extraction schemes (EES)

- EES easier to apply but less effective → only 1 material barrier can be addressed
- IES much more effective but difficult to apply → risk of damaging the organic layers

Internal extraction schemes (IES)

→ Design optimization for the ideal OLED device:

- Improving electrical efficiency, photon generation and life time by adding layers
- Additional layers will come at the cost of higher refractive index



Luminous efficacy


Efficacy of a light source (E):
$$E = \frac{L}{U * I}$$

L: luminous output of the device


U: operating voltage

I: operating current

L is the photonic output of the device **weighed by the colour sensitivity of the human eye**.



Key factor for improving efficacy is an optimised device structure of the emissive layer → enabling each colour to emit at achievable efficiency without too much de-tuning.





Luminous efficacy

	% Photon			All phosphorescent Tandem RGB+RGB	Hybrid Tandem B+RG
CCT	Blue	Green	Red		
2700	10.9	21.1	68	$\text{EQE}_{\text{max}} = 49.0\%$	$\text{EQE}_{\text{max}} = 56.1\%$
3000	14.2	22.4	63.4	$\text{EQE}_{\text{max}} = 52.6\%$	$\text{EQE}_{\text{max}} = 58.3\%$
3500	18.5	23.1	58.4	$\text{EQE}_{\text{max}} = 57.1\%$	$\text{EQE}_{\text{max}} = 61.3\%$
4000	23.1	23.5	53.4	$\text{EQE}_{\text{max}} = 62.4\%$	$\text{EQE}_{\text{max}} = 65.0\%$
4500	27.5	22.7	49.7	$\text{EQE}_{\text{max}} = 67.0\%$	$\text{EQE}_{\text{max}} = 69.0\%$
5000	31.2	22.2	46.6	$\text{EQE}_{\text{max}} = 71.5\%$	$\text{EQE}_{\text{max}} = 64.1\%$
5700	35.4	20.8	43.8	$\text{EQE}_{\text{max}} = 76.1\%$	$\text{EQE}_{\text{max}} = 56.5\%$
6500	39.8	19.4	40.8	$\text{EQE}_{\text{max}} = 81.7\%$	$\text{EQE}_{\text{max}} = 50.3\%$

Source: Design Considerations for OLED Lighting, Tyan et al., 2015

Device structure does matter!




OLED efficacy vs. LED efficacy

Status 2015

Standard LED efficacy $>120 \text{ lm/W}$ \rightarrow high end $> 150 \text{ lm/W}$


Standard OLED efficacy 60 lm/W \rightarrow high end 90 lm/W



Is the rated efficacy of an OLED and an LED directly comparable?



OLED efficacy vs. LED efficacy




Is that really the most important parameter? How about the application?




Luminaire efficacy much lower than efficacy of the light source.




OLED Panel is effectively a luminaire!




OLED efficacy vs. luminaire efficacy



System efficacy: light source, driver, thermal and optical losses
Efficacy of lamp or LED package




Indoor luminaires efficacy trends






Source: CALiPER: Snapshot Indoor LED Luminaire, DOE, Apr. 2014

Snapshot from the CALiPER program on LED luminaire efficacies.




Further reading






Thank you for your attention.





Efficiency challenges in OLEDs



*The major component
in your success!*