



### HOW TO USE MODEL-DRIVEN DEVELOPMENT FOR SMART HOSPITAL BOOMS AND SENSORLESS MOTOR CONTROL











#### Het ontwerpen van innovatieve elektronica



# **DEVELOPMENT SERVICE** MISSION-CRITICAL APPLICATIONS















# **ABOUT 3T**

### **Our mission:**

We continuously invest in our expertise in electronics and embedded systems to enable our clients offering the best possible product to the market.

- 100+ innovators
  - 40+ years
- Enschede, Eindhoven & Drachten
- Since 2021 part of Kendrion





Co-development Development through cooperation



Qualified supply Product to volume production Innovation & support Maintain product during life cycle







# Demonstration of MDD and Code Generation by two project examples.

Co-development Development through cooperation









### THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

MDD as a framework to manage:

- Requirements
- Complexity
- Failure analysis



# **Project: Skytron Freedom**

Gates

T

G------



(aura

E.M.L

### The Challenge

- Easy positioning and movement of arm
  - Drift due to mechanical bending
  - Interacting with devices on arm cause movement
  - Accidental impacts may have large consequences
- Intentional friction in joints
  - Takes quite some effort to move arm around
  - Wear on friction mechanism



The Solution:

- Smart Braking System
  - Easy to move when needed
  - Stable when stationary
  - No special user interaction needed
  - Sensor system to detect user intention





### **Specification**

- Ensure all hardware interfaces are accessible and controllable
- The desired "Excellent user experience" of the end-product

Mechanical design of brakes and arm were not available at the start of the project.









Woensdag 20 maart 2024 1931 Congrescentrum 's-Hertogenbosch

10

### **Development Strategy: Reduce development time and risk**

- Use of existing design experience for electronics and software
- Use MDD for functional behavior design and implementation



#### **Customer's Language**



Woensdag 20 maart 2024

JA

(mg)

Δx

(mg)

-1.782

0.000

-1.300

-1.969

-1.551

0.000

37.284

29.827

Av

3.207

3.118

2.970

3.118

#### **Translation to model**







Verification









#### **Translation to model**



# PROJECT: SKYTRON FREEDOM Integration

- Comparison between model and mechanical system
  - Optimize system and model
- Rapid design iterations to achieve the "Excellent User Experience"



# **KEY TAKEAWAYS**

	Project	Customer Challenge	Strategy	Results
Co - development		- System is mechanically sub-optimal. - Ambiguous requirements	<ul> <li>Use models to verify understanding of requirements.</li> <li>Use MDD as a framework, design methodology and a common language.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced development costs and time-to-market</li> <li>Autogenerated 17k+ lines of source code in 4 minutes.</li> <li>Shortened design iterations: day(s) instead of weeks.</li> </ul>





# **Project: Sensorless Motor Control**





### The challenge

- Develop, evaluate and optimize high performance sensorless motor control solutions.
- Feasibility studies:
  - Electrical/Mechanical system
  - Execution timing (PIL)
- Make control algorithm target independent.



# PROJECT: SENSORLESS MOTOR CONTROL The Solution

- Use Simulink to create multi domain models with clear interfaces.
  - Motor Control Blockset for Control Algorithm
  - Simscape Electrical for Motor Drive Electronics and Motor model
  - Simscape Multibody for System Mechanics





### **Development Strategy: Reduce development time and risk**

- Design and validate the controller for different operating conditions.
  - Startup behavior
  - Inverter behavior
  - Mechanical behavior





#### **Development Strategy: Reduce development time and risk**

• Use Embedded Coder to generate code.





### **Example Feasibility study: Execution timing**

Processor in the Loop



### **Example Feasibility study: Execution timing**

• Processor in the Loop



#### **Example Feasibility study: Execution timing**



D&E EVENT

# PROJECT: SENSORLESS MOTOR CONTROL Future Plans

- Convert base datatype to fixed-point using Fixed-Point Designer Toolbox.
- Optimize computationally intensive math functions (Accuracy vs execution efficiency).

	CompiledDT double double	Run to te with d Types V SpecifiedDT fixdt(1,32,28) fixdt(1,32,27)	ecompare in SDI FRIFY ProposedDT fixdl(1,32,28) fixdl(1,32,27)	Compare Results	Restore Original Model MANAGE	SimMax O	* Result Deta	ails	
Propose Al Data Types Data CONVERT      a     e     e     e     rominator Terms : Output tominator Terms : Produ     vn Cast	CompiledDT double double	Run to te with d Types VI SpecifiedDT fixdt(1,32,28) fixdt(1,32,27)	ecompare in SDI	Compare Results	Restore Original Model MANAGE	SimMax 0	* Result Deta	ails	
ne  nominator Terms : Output nominator Terms : Produ vn Cast nerator Terms : Accumul	CompiledDT double double double	<b>SpecifiedDT</b> fixdt(1,32,28) fixdt(1,32,27)	ProposedDT fixdt(1,32,28)	Accept	SimMin	SimMax O	Tresult Det	ails	
ne  ominator Terms : Output iominator Terms : Produ vn Cast	CompiledDT double double double	SpecifiedDT fixdt(1,32,28) fixdt(1,32,27)	ProposedDT fixdt(1,32,28)	Accept	SimMin	SimMax O	fxpdemo fee	dhack/Controller/Up Car	
iominator Terms : Produ vn Cast nerator Terms : Accumul.	double	fixdt(1,32,27)	fixel1/4 22 27\	-	-6.475416336	3.4877081684 *	inpactino_ree	raback/controllenop cas	t
vn Cast	double		11X01(1,52,27)		-8.516638478	5.3964875151	Proposed Da	ata Type Summary	
nerator Terms : Accumul		fixdt(1,16,12) fixdt(1,8,4)	fixdt(1,16,12) fixdt(1,8,4)		-2.413500903	4.3270018075	Property DataType	Proposed Data Type fixdt(1,16,12)	Specified Data Ty fixdt(1,16,12)
nerator Terms : Output	double	fixdt(1,32,28)	fixdt(1,32,28)		-5.677304459	5.7005245184	Minimum	-8	-8
nerator Terms : Product	double	fixdt(1,32,28)	fixdt(1,32,28)		-5.677304459	5.7005245184	Precision	0.000244140625	0.000244140625
1		Inherit: auto	n/a				4		
Up Cast		double fixdt(1,16,12) fixdt(1,16,12) -2			-2	3.9999999999  Ranges used for proposal			
zation of Simulation Data						_	Property	Minimum	Maximum
	His	tograms of all re	sults in the mode				Simulation	-2	3.999999999971
							Simulation D	ata Overview using fixdt	(1,16,12)
						Overflows	Values Positive Negative Zero	Potential Overflows         In-Ran 0           ■ 0         ■ 48           ※ 0         ※ 49           0         0	ge Potential Underflows 151 151 0
	ation of Simulation Data	Alternation Terms : Product double Cation of Simulation Data His Cation of Simulation Data	Interior Terms : Product double fixdt(1,32,28) I Interit: auto Interit: auto Sast double fixdt(1,16,12) ation of Simulation Data Histograms of all re	Interitor Terms : Product double [fxxlt(1,32,28) fixdl(1,32,28) [interit: auto n/a interit: auto n/a fixdl(1,16,12) [interit: auto n/a fixdl(1,16,12)] [	Inherita Terms : Product double fixed(1,32,28) fixed(1,32,28) I Inherit: auto n/a Sast double fixed(1,16,12) fixed(1,16,12) ation of Simulation Data Histograms of all results in the model	Inheritar Terms : Product double fixett(1,32,28) fixet(1,32,28)5.677304459 Inherit: auto n/a Sast double fixet(1,16,12) fixet(1,16,12) -2 ation of Simulation Data Histograms of all results in the model	erentor Terms : Product double fixdt(1,32,28) fixdt(1,32,28) 5.577304459, 5.7005245184, Inhent: auto n/a Sast double fixdt(1,16,12) fixdt(1,16,12) -2 3.9999999999 ation of Simulation Data Histograms of all results in the model Coverfious Representable In-Representable In-Representable In-Representable In-Representable In-Representable	Product double fixdl(1,32,28) fixdl(1,32,28) - 5.677304459 52005245184	Peretor Terms : Product double fixel(1,32,28) fixel(1,32,28) _ 5.677304459 5.7005245184 L hherit: auto n/a ation of Simulation Data Histograms of all results in the model Histograms of all results in the model Coverflows Representable to Range with the second of t



# **KEY TAKEAWAYS**

	Project	Customer Challenge	Strategy	Results
Co - development		- System is mechanically sub-optimal. - Ambiguous requirements	<ul> <li>Use models to verify understanding of requirements.</li> <li>Use MDD as a framework, design methodology and a common language.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced development costs and time-to-market.</li> <li>Autogenerated 17k+ lines of source code in 4 minutes.</li> <li>Shortened design iterations: day(s) instead of weeks.</li> </ul>
support		<ul> <li>Development of high- performance control algorithms is costly as it is target-dependent.</li> <li>Feasibility studies of mechanical systems.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Use Simulink to create multi domain models with clear interfaces.</li> <li>Use Embedded Coder to generate target independent code.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Met code execution time requirement of &lt;30 µs.</li> <li>Answered feasibility questions in weeks instead of months.</li> <li>Achieved better software quality / decreased errors.</li> </ul>
dns		- Feasibility studies of mechanical systems.	- Use Embedded Coder to generate target independent code.	in weeks instead of months. - Achieved better software quality / decreased errors.

Co - development

Innovation &

Woensdag 20 maart 2024 1931 Congrescentrum 's-Hertogenbosch

-----

# KENDRION











Test & Measurement



Het ontwerpen van innovatieve elektronica